PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Rec'd PCT/PTO 2 1 JAN 2005 PC7

REC'D 1 6 DEC 2004

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

u de constantilo refere	2000	See Notification of Transmittal of International				
Applicant's or agent's file refere W0251WO	FOR FURTHER ACT	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/EP 03/06929	International filing date (d 30.06.2003	day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 26.07.2002				
International Patent Classificat	ion (IPC) or both national classification an	nd IPC				
B65D77/20						
Applicant CROWN PACKAGING	FECHNOLOGY INC et-al.					
This international pre Authority and is trans	eliminary examination report has beer smitted to the applicant according to A	n prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consis	sts of a total of 8 sheets, including th	nis cover sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes cons	sist of a total of 7 sheets.					
3. This report contains	indications relating to the following its	tems:				
I ⊠ Basis of	the opinion					
II ☐ Priority		No. december 1 combined with a				
III Non-esta	iblishment of opinion with regard to n	novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV 🔲 Lack of u	unity of invention	to book to be a bo				
V ⊠ Reasone citations	ed statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) w and explanations supporting such st	vith regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; tatement				
VI Certain of	documents cited	·				
VII Certain	defects in the international application	n				
VIII 🚨 Certain	observations on the international app	olication				
Date of submission of the de	mand	Date of completion of this report				
26.01.2004		10.12.2004				
Name and mailing address of	of the international	Authorized Officer				
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Nistor, L						
D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0		Telephone No. +49 30 25901-561				
Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840 Telephone No. +49 30 25901-561		Telephone No. The do 2000 1-001				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/06929

1.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Desc	cription, Pages			
	1-6,	10	as originally filed		
	7-9		received on 16.11.2004 with letter of 11.11.2004		
		and the second	en skriver i de la companya de la c		
	Claims, Numbers				
	1-9		received on 16.11.2004 with letter of 11.11.2004		
	Drav	wings, Sheets			
	1/4-4		as originally filed		
With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authorit language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			ge, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the rnational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tran	slation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
	□.	the language of public	cation of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of a trar Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under).		
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application; international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: 					
	□ contained in the international application in written form.				
			e international application in computer readable form.		
			tly to this Authority in written form.		
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
	☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclos in the international application as filed has been furnished.				
		enter the written sequence			
4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages:		
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		
		-			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/06929

5. 🏻	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Claims 1-9 Yes: Novelty (N) Claims No: Claims 5-9 Yes: Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-4 No: 1-9 Yes: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims
No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: DE 34 47 875 A D2: FR 2 577 897

1. Independent claim 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a peelable lid structure for a container, the peelable lid structure including: a barrier layer for preventing the passage of fluids; and a tab (8) extending from a centre panel (2) (cf. Fig. 1, 3, 4) of the peelable structure from the container (cf. pag. 11, par. 2) to allow access to the container contents; in which the barrier layer includes aluminium (cf. pag. 3, par. 1, pag. 7, par. 4); and in which the tab (8) is folded over the centre panel (2) (cf. pag. 10 par. 1) and secured in the folded position (cf. pag. 9, I. 17-20) on the centre panel (2) for processing of can contents and/or handling operations.

from which the subject-matter of claim 1 differs in that the barrier layer includes less than 20 microns thickness of aluminium.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as how to provide a peelable lid structure that uses a very thin aluminium layer which is flexible and when flattened does take too much place and thus lowers the manufacturing costs.

Document D1 discloses that the peelable lid structure can be either folded in which case it stays on the centre panel or can be glued in which case is fixed on the centre panel (see pag. 9, lines 15-19). If for the first case, in which the tab is folded it might be that a thickness of aluminium layer of 60-70 microns is necessary (as also the applicant states in the description on page 1, line 25), for the second case, in which the tab is glued on the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

centre panel it will be obvious for the person skilled in the art that it is no more necessary a thick aluminium layer since it is not fixed by its thickness but by the glue.

Moreover, the feature of having a barrier layer with less than 20 microns thickness of aluminium have already been employed for the same purpose in a similar peelable lid structure having a barrier layer, see document D2, page 5, lines 18-28, page 5, line 37 page 6, line 22. Document D2 expressly states that the barrier layer (2') which is generally made of aluminium can have a thickness between 7 and 40 microns and that starting with a thickness from 12 microns the aluminium has excellent barrier qualities and that up to 40 microns it can be torn perfectly ("...a partir de 12 microns d'épaisseur [l'aluminium] présente d'excellentes caractéristiques d'imperméabilité à l'oxygène, à la vapeur d'eau ainsi qu'aux rayons ultraviolets. D'autre part utilisé jusqu'à environs 40 microns, il se dechire parfaitement."). The document D2 does not suggest that the aluminium layer should have at least 12 microns and ideally 40 microns thickness, it states specifically that the maximum of the aluminium layer, in order to tear down perfectly, can be 40 microns. Also the document D2 specifically states that the barrier layer 2' can be made from a different material with the same barrier properties as aluminium, which means that also the situation of having no aluminium layer (thickness of aluminium layer 0 microns, which is included in claim 1 by claiming a thickness of aluminium layer of less than 20 microns) is foreseen by document D2.

It would be obvious to the person skilled in the art, namely when the result to be achieved is to obtain a very thin and thus flexible barrier layer with good barrier properties, to apply these features with corresponding effect to a peelable lid structure according to document D1, thereby arriving at a peelable lid structure according to claim 1. Moreover, a thickness of less than 20 microns is an obvious choice for the person skilled in the art knowing that starting with 12 microns the aluminium layer has excellent barrier properties and knowing that the thinner the aluminium layer is the more flexible it is. The subject-matter of claim 1 does therefore not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

2. Independent claims 6 and 7

2.1. The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 6, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

a method of forming a peelable lid structure having a centre panel, the method comprising:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY



- cutting the peelable lid structure out of the lidding material;
- folding the tab portion of the peelable lid structure over the centre panel;
- securing the tab to the centre panel by heat sealing or bonding.

The subject-matter of claim 6 differs from this known method in that the following steps are comprised:

- forming a hole in a portion of a lidding material corresponding to the centre panel of the peelable lid structure;
- covering the hole by fixing a patch to a first side of the lidding material, thereby forming an area of patch exposed by the hole on the opposite side of the lidding material;
- securing the tab to the centre panel by heat sealing or bonding of the exposed are.

The subject-matter of claim 6 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as providing a small securing area of the tab which allows the presence of an unsecured area around it which helps in gripping the tab.

The solution to this problem, proposed by claim 6 of the present application, is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) because the concept of using a patch material which is exposed by a hole in the centre panel of the lidding material was not found in the prior art available yet.

2.2. The independent claim 7 is an alternative to the solution proposed in the independent claim 6, differentiating from the subject-matter of claim 6 in that the hole to expose the patch is made in the tab and not in the centre panel of the lidding material. For this reasons and keeping in mind the argumentation in paragraph 2.1. above the solution proposed by claim 7 is regarded as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

3. Dependent claims

Dependent claims 2-4 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT), the reasons being as follows:

3.1. As stated in paragraph 1 above, document D2 discloses that the aluminium layer has

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

excellent barrier properties starting from 12 microns. Also it discloses a barrier layer of between 7 and 40 microns. It will be an obvious choice for the person skilled in the art to choose a barrier layer having an aluminium layer of not more than 15 microns in order to obtain a peelable lid structure which can be flexible and cheaper to manufacture, thus obtaining a peelable lid structure according to claim 2 (page 5, lines 18-28, page 5, line 37 - page 6, line 22) (Art. 33(3) PCT).

Document **D2** also disclose the features of claim **3** (cf. pag. 4, I. 6-7, I. 30-35)(Art. 33(3) PCT).

- **3.2.** The feature of claim 4 is a matter of normal design procedure, its choice for fixing the tab (8) described in document **D1** by the centre panel (2) would therefore be an obvious design possibility for the skilled person in order to solve the problem posed (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- **3.3.** The combination of the features of dependent claim **5** is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The reason is that document **D1**, which is regarded as the closest prior art, discloses a peelable lid structure which has a tab secured to the centre panel of the lid. However neither this document or the others available in the prior art do not disclose the securing of the tab on the centre panel made only by a patch exposed by a hole in the tab or in the centre panel, solution chosen in order to obtain a small securing area of the tab which allows to have an unsecured area around it, used for gripping the tab.
- 3.4. Claims 8 and 9 are dependent on claim 7 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

4. Further remarks

Upon entry in the regional phase the applicant should observe the following remarks:

- 4.1. Independent claims 6 and 7 refer to methods of producing the product claimed in dependent claim 5.30
- **4.2.** If a new independent product claim is drafted the applicant should bear in mind that the features known in combination in document **D1** should be placed in the preamble of such a claim and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part.

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET